

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/753,598      | 01/03/2001   | Stephen Goddard Price | BLD9-2000-0052US1      | 1855            |  |
| 7590 06/30/2005 |              |                       | EXAMINER               |                 |  |
| David W. Lynch  |              |                       | RAHIMI, IRAJ A         |                 |  |
| Crawford Maun   | nu PLLC      |                       |                        | ·               |  |
| 1270 Northland  | Drive, Suite | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER           |                 |  |
| Mendota Heigh   | ts, MN 55120 | 2622                  | <del></del>            |                 |  |
|                 |              |                       | DATE MAILED, 06/20/200 | -               |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Applica   | tion No.  | Applicant(s)   | ·                     |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
|   |  |   | 598   | PRICE ET AL.   | PRICE ET AL.          |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary                                     |  | Examin  | er  | Art Unit   |                       |  |  |  |
|   | •  | (Iraj) Ala  | ın Rahimi   | 2622   |                       |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communi   | ication appears on t  | he cover sheet with   | h the correspondence ac  | idress                |  |  |  |
| A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no equinication. D) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a | event, however, may a replantation of thirty will expire SIX (6) MONTI pplication to become ABA | oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered time!  HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ty.<br>communication. |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |   | •  |                       |  |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) file  | d on <i>07 April 2005</i> .   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
|   | 3  |   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| <i>,</i> —  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims   |   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)⊠   | <ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1.3-11.16-24 and 26-34 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 12-15 and 35-38 is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>   |   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠  | The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>7 April 2005</u> in Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to   | s/are: a) accepte ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requ  | be held in abeyand<br>lired if the drawing(s  | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 C  |                       |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| a)[   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action  | documents have be<br>documents have be<br>of the priority docun<br>nal Bureau (PCT Re   | een received.<br>een received in Ap<br>nents have been r<br>ule 17.2(a)).                       | plication No<br>eceived in this National   | Stage                 |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |   |  | •                     |  |  |  |
| Attachment  |  |   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P  | TO-948)   |   | mmary (PTO-413)<br>/Mail Date  |                       |  |  |  |
| 3) Inform   | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date  |   |   | ormal Patent Application (PT   | O-152)                |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. In papers filed on April 7, 2005 applicant amended claims 1, 3, 5, 8, 12, 24 and 28. Applicant canceled claims 2 and 25. Amended claims 1 and 24 had incorporated the allowable features from dependent claims.

#### Response to Arguments

2. The indicated allowability of claims 2-15 and 25-38 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Wolff. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## **Specification**

3. Claims 4, 9, 21, 24 and 31 are objected to because of the following informalities: There are typographical errors in these claims. Appropriate correction is required. Rest of the claims should be also double checked for any possible errors.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for

Art Unit: 2622

patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

5. Claims 1, 3-11, 16-24 and 26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wolff (US patent application publication 2005/0021608).

Regarding claim 1, Wolff discloses a method for providing one click printing, comprising:

providing a document identifier for a document to a print job acceptor using a single user action (page 4, paragraph 50-53); and

acquiring and printing the document by the print job acceptor based upon the provided document identifier (page 4, paragraph 50-53),

wherein the document identifier comprises a uniform resource locator (page 4, paragraph 50-53).

Regarding claim 3, Wolff discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the single user action comprises clicking on the uniform resource locator (page 4, paragraph 52-53).

Regarding claim 4, Wolff discloses the method of claim 3 wherein the providing comprises sending the uniform resource locator to the print job acceptor (page 4, paragraph 51).

Regarding claim 5, Wolff discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the single user action comprises displaying a context menu associated with the uniform resource locator and selecting to direct print the document identified by the uniform resource

)

Art Unit: 2622

locator using a single operation of a cursor control device (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 6, Wolff discloses the method of claim 5 wherein the providing comprises sending the uniform resource locator to the print job acceptor based upon the selection of the direct print of the document (page 4, paragraph 51).

Regarding claim 7, Wolff discloses the method of claim 5 wherein cursor control device comprises a computer mouse and the single operation comprises depressing a mouse button to cause the context menu to be displayed and releasing the mouse button with the cursor positioned over a direct print menu selection to cause the direct print of the document identified by the uniform resource locator to be selected (page 4, paragraph 52).

Regarding claim 8, applicant discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising configuring an application for one click printing prior to providing a document identifier (page 3, paragraph 39).

Regarding claim 9, Wolff discloses the method of claim 8 wherein the configuring an application for one click printing comprises installing an application plug-in for interpreting the user actions (page 3, paragraph 39).

Regarding claim 10, Wolff discloses the method of claim 9 wherein the installing

Art Unit: 2622

further comprises selecting a print job acceptor for providing one click printing (page 3, paragraph 44).

Regarding claim 11, Wolff discloses the method of claim 9 wherein the installing further comprises displaying a menu for selecting a print job acceptor for providing one click printing (page 4, paragraph 51).

Regarding claims 16-18, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1, are presented.

Regarding claims 19 and 26, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 19, are presented.

Regarding claims 20 and 27, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 4, are presented.

Regarding claims 21 and 28, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 5, are presented.

Regarding claims 22 and 29, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 6, are presented.

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claims 23 and 30, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 7, are presented.

Regarding claims 31, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 8, are presented.

Regarding claims 32-34, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 9-11, are respectively presented.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 12-15 and 35-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Other Prior Art Cited

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Foster et al. (US patent 6,631,010) discloses web-based imaging system using cookies to provide a single action printing option.

Burleson (US patent application publication 2004/0075682) discloses system and process for creating bookmark web pages using web browser.

Teng et al. (US patent 6,327,045) discloses printer having a URL.

Art Unit: 2622

## **Contact Information**

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Iraj) Alan Rahimi whose telephone number is 571-272-7411. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Alan Rahimi June 26, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER